

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,987	07/16/2003	Jackson Hsieh	2011118	1002
7590 04/06/2005			EXAMINER	
PRO-TECHTOR INTERNATIONAL			SOWARD, IDA M	
20775 Norada Court Saratoga, CA 95070-3018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/621,987	HSIEH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ida M. Soward	2822				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess			
Period fo	• •						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a atton. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOD by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comments BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 07 September 2004					
•	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	This action is non-final.					
3)							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	• •					
•		ation		•			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii itoiii consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>z</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers	·					
	•						
'-	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	·		• •			
•	·						
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	•	i received in this National St	age			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action fo		rećejved				
	see the attached detailed office detion to	. a not of the continue copies not					
Attachmen	t(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Paper No.	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1)	5 2)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:		JZ)			

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Applicants' amendment filed September 7, 2004.

Claim Objections

The objection to claims 5-6 has been withdrawn due to the amendment filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 in view of Spinelli et al. (4,472,762).

In regard to claims 1 and 3, Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 teaches a substrate structure for an integrated circuit package to be electrically connected to a printed circuit board, the substrate structure comprising: a plurality of metal sheets 10 arranged in an array, each of the metal sheets having an upper surface 14 and a lower surface 12; an encapsulant 16 for encapsulating the metal sheets, wherein the upper surfaces of the metal sheets are exposed from the encapsulant, and the lower surfaces of the metal sheets are exposed from the encapsulant and electrically connected to the printed

Application/Control Number: 10/621,987

Art Unit: 2822

circuit board, wherein the encapsulant is made of a plastic material (pages 1-2, Description of the Related Art).

However, Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 fail to teach a plurality of upper metal sheets arranged in an array, each of the upper metal sheets having an upper surface and a lower surface, the lower surfaces of the upper metal sheets being stacked on the upper surfaces of the lower metal sheets; and an encapsulant for encapsulating the metal sheets, wherein the upper surfaces of the metal sheets are exposed from the encapsulant, and the lower surfaces of the metal sheets are exposed from the encapsulant.

Spinelli et al. teach a plurality of upper metal sheets 56 arranged in an array, each of the upper metal sheets 56 having an upper surface and a lower surface, the lower surfaces of the upper metal sheets being stacked on the upper surfaces of the lower metal sheets; and an encapsulant 18 for encapsulating the upper metal sheets 56, wherein the upper surfaces of the upper metal sheets 56 are exposed from the encapsulant 18, and the lower surfaces of the upper metal sheets 56 are exposed from the encapsulant 18.

Since Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 and Spinelli et al. are from the same field of endeavor (substrate structures), the purpose disclosed by Spinelli et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Admitted Prior Art Figure 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the substrate structure as taught by Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 with the substrate structure having a plurality of upper metals as taught by Spinelli et al. to

Art Unit: 2822

provide the substrate with desired electrical conductivity, with desired thermal conductivity and expansion (col. 2, lines 16-22).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09-07-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regard to the remarks concerning Admitted Prior Art Figure 1, the surfaces 12 & 14 of the plurality of metal sheets 10 arranged in an array are not encapsulated by encapsulant 16.

In regard to the remarks concerning Spinelli et al. (4,472,762), the plurality of upper metal sheets 56 stacked on the lower metal sheets 56 in Figure 16, column 7, lines 28-34.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,987 Page 5

Art Unit: 2822

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 571-272-1845. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,987 Page 6

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IMS April 4, 2004

> POSCHA MASANA STOCHMAN COMO COM CENTEN 284